

TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENTS 2021

3.A. Details of target and achievements of mandatory activities by KVK during 2021

| OFT (Technology Assessment) | | | | FLD (Oilseeds, Pulses, Cotton, Other Crops/Enterprises) | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1 | | | | 2 | | | |
| Number of OFTs | | Total no. of Trials | | Area in ha | | Number of Farmers | |
| Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement |
| 10 | 09 | 100 | 90 | 200 ha | 191.7 ha & 144nos | 700 | 667 |

| Training (including sponsored, vocational and other trainings carried under Rainwater Harvesting Unit) | | | | | Extension Activities | | | |
|--|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Number of Courses | | | | | Number of activities | | Number of participants | |
| Clientele | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement |
| Farmers | 30 | 33 | 1200 | 1184 | 450 | 439 | 28000 | 27815 |
| Rural youth | 10 | 11 | 350 | 380 | | | | |
| Extn. Functionaries | 5 | 6 | 200 | 182 | | | | |

| Seed Production (Qtl.) | | | Planting material (Nos.) | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| 5 | | | 6 | | |
| Target | Achievement | Distributed to no. of farmers | Target | Achievement | Distributed to no. of farmers |
| | 110.06 | 369 | 70000 | 66533 | 4047 |

I.A TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT 2021

Summary of technologies assessed under various crops by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Crop | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------------------------|----------|--|---------------|----------------|
| Integrated Nutrient Management | Wheat | Demand driven need-based fertilizer N application through LCC. | 1 | 10 |
| | Kinnow | Foliar spray of nutrients at fruit developing stage in kinnow. | 1 | 10 |
| | Onion | Foliar spray of micronutrients at bulb developing stage in onion | 1 | 10 |
| Integrated Pest Management | Sorghum | Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC @ 0.40 ml/lit for stem borer Management in sorghum fodder crop. | 1 | 10 |
| | Tinda | Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 0.4 gm/lit. water for thrips management in Tinda. | 1 | 10 |
| Integrated Disease Management | Kinnow | Sodium Hypochlorite 5% for gummosis management in kinnow. | 1 | 10 |
| Resource Conservation Technology | Chickpea | Use of liquid Bio-fertilizers in Gram crop. | 1 | 10 |
| Total | | | 7 | 70 |

Summary of technologies assessed under livestock by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Name of the livestock enterprise | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------|----------------|
| Nutrition Management | Milk production | Balance feeding with probiotic supplementation in cattle | 1 | 10 |
| | Milk production | Balance feeding with chelated mineral mixture in buffalo | 1 | 10 |
| Total | | | 2 | 20 |

Summary of technologies assessed under various enterprises by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Enterprise | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT IN DETAIL

INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

1.

Problem definition: Non judicious use of N fertilizers. (1st year)

Technology Assessed: Demand driven need-based fertilizer N application through LCC.

Wheat is the prime crop of Hanumangarh district. As we know the nitrogen is one of the major plant nutrients which govern the proper growth of plants, its judicious and optimum application is must. Non judicious application of nitrogen causes nutrient imbalance and increases the susceptibility of plants to pests and diseases. This is not only responsible for higher cost of production but also causes environmental pollution. Therefore, demand driven need-based fertilizer N application through LCC can help efficient nitrogen management in wheat crop. For this purpose, an OFT was conducted and found that the difference in yield of T₁ and T₂ was found to be non-significant. There was not much difference in the amount of nitrogen used by the farmer and the amount of nitrogen used with the help of leaf color chart.

Table: Performance of technology in reference of yield and income of Wheat.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in yield (%) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio |
|---|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Farmer's Practice | 10 | 46.89 | - | 78949 | 3.62 |
| Leaf Color Chart (LCC) based nitrogen management (Assessment) | | 47.05 | 0.34 | 80654 | 3.69 |

RESOURCE CONSERVATION

2.

Problem definition: Incompetence of carrier-based inoculants.

Technology Assessed: Use of liquid Bio-fertilizers in Gram crop.

Chickpea is a major pulse crop of Hanumangarh district in Rabi season. There is a good possibility to increase its production by inoculation with Rhizobium & Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) inoculants to the seed or to the soil even in fields where chickpea have been grown for many years.

At present, Bio-fertilizers are supplied to the farmers as carrier-based inoculants. Bio-fertilizer consumption is not very satisfactory due to certain disadvantages associated with carrier-based bio-fertilizers, while liquid bio-fertilizer does not have these disadvantages. Therefore, this trial was conducted. The effect of liquid and carrier-based bio-fertilizers on grain yield was significant. Higher yield was recorded with liquid bio-fertilizers (18.74q/h) over carrier-based bio-fertilizer treatment (18.00q/h) and uninoculated control (16.95q/h).

At vegetative stage, a significant increase in nodulation was observed with both liquid and carrier-based bio-fertilizers over control treatment.

Table: Performance of varieties in reference of yield and income of Chickpea.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in Yield (%) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio | Number of nodules plant ⁻¹ |
|---|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| No use of Bio-fertilizers. (Control) | 10 | 16.95 | -- | 62664 | 3.11 | 12 |
| Use of Bio-fertilizers as per recommendation (Carrier based inoculants). (RP) | | 18.00 | 6.19 | 71337 | 3.30 | 26 |
| Use of liquid Bio-fertilizers (Assessment) | | 18.74 | 10.56 | 72202 | 3.42 | 32 |

PEST AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT

3.

Problem definition: Gummosis management in Kinnow.

Technology Assessed: Sodium Hypochlorite 5% for gummosis management in kinnow.

Kinnow is an important fruit crop of Hanumangarh district. The plants mainly suffer from gummosis (Foot rot) caused by *Phytophthora palmivora*. Phytophthora is most destructive pathogen of Kinnow plant and responsible for significant economic losses to orchardist. To combat this problem, we frame a work on farm trial and found that on the management of gummosis in kinnow. Farmers generally used Paste the solution of Ridomil MZ @ 2g + 100 ml linseed oil on infected trunk and branches and drenching of Redomil MZ @ 25 gm per plant twice in a year but is not effective to control this disease so, we design to conduct OFT on Gummosis management. Results showed that the spray of Sodium Hypochlorite 5% was found to be more effective than Ridomil MZ in the management of gummosis disease.

Table Efficacy of different pesticides for Gummosis management in Kinnow.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Recovery from trunk lesion (%) | Reduction in Phytophthora propagule density (%) | Yield (q/ha) | Spray cost per plant (Rs.) | B:C RatioR |
|---|---------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------|----------------------------|------------|
| T ₁ - Paste of Ridomil MZ @ 2g + 100 ml linseed oil on infected trunk and branches and drenching of Redomil MZ @25 gm per plant twice in a year. (Farmer's practice) | 10 | 60.03 | 79.16 | 325 | 113.00 | 4.15 |
| T ₂ -Spray of Sodium Hypochlorite 5% @ 50 ml/ 10liter water on affected trunk & branches twice in a year | | 64.49 | 84.72 | 345 | 31.90 | 5.10 |

4.

Problem definition: Stem borer management in sorghum

Technology Assessed: Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC @ 0.40 ml/lit for Stem borer management in Sorghum fodder crop.

Sorghum (Jowar) is an important fodder crop during Kharif season accounting for about 177 ha area in the Hanumangarh district 2017-18. Livestock is the main base of agriculture in Hanumangarh district. In India nearly 150 insect species have been reported as pests on sorghum (Jotwani *et al.*, 1980, Sharma, 1993), of which sorghum shoot fly (*Atherigona soccata*), and stem borers (*Chilo partellus*,) are important pests. Stem borer, *Chilo partellus* is a common pest in sorghum fodder crop. Stem borer infestation starts about 20 days after seedling emergence, and deadhearts appear on 30 to 40 day old-crop. During the off-season, the larvae diapauses in plant stalks and stubbles. With the onset of rainy season, the larvae pupate and the adults emerge in 7 days. The first indication of stem borer infestation is the appearance of small-elongated windows in whorl leaves where the young larvae have eaten the upper surface of the leaves. Later, the plant presents a ragged appearance as the severity of damage increases. Normally, two leaves dry up as a result of stem borer damage. Larvae continue to feed inside the stem throughout the crop growth. Extensive tunneling of the stem and peduncle leads to drying up of the panicle, production of a partially chaffy panicle or peduncle breakage. *Chilo partellus* Swinhoe is regularly causing economic losses during kharif seasons. Looking the seriousness of these pests an attempt was made to gather information on pest management. The existing chemicals are not controlling the insect and farmers are incurring heavy losses of fodder yield and poor quality.

KVK, Hanumangarh-1st assessed the efficacy of Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% EC @ 1.5 ml/lit., Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC @ 0.40 ml/lit. and Tricogramma chilonis 2.5lac egg parasite per ha two time use at one-week interval against stem borer management in sorghum at ten different locations of Hanumangarh district. The highest yield, B:C ratio and percent pest reduction was obtained T₂ and T₃ treatment. The highest longevity duration of pest out break was found in T₂ and T₃ treatment.

Table Efficacy of different pesticides for stem borer management in sorghum.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Pest reduction (%) | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in yield (%) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | B:C |
|---|---------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------|
| T ₁ -Lambda Cyhalothrin 5% EC @ 1.5 ml/lit. (Farmers practice) | 10 | 61.15 | 463 | -- | 33945 | 1.75 |
| T ₂ - Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC @ 0.40 ml/lit. (Assessment) | | 71.26 | 496 | 7.13 | 35640 | 1.88 |

5.

Problem definition: Sucking pest management in tinda vegetable.

Technology Assessed: Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 0.4 gm/lit. water for thrips management in Tinda.

Tinda (*Praecitrullus fistulosus*) which is also called Round Melon or squash melon, or Indian squash is a cucurbit grown for its immature fruits, as a vegetable popular in the area. In last two years, its area gradually increased in Hanumangarh district. Like other crops, there are many insects, pest and diseases in tinda. Among them, thrips is a major pest that leads to significant damage to the crop. Thrips suck the sap from the leaves regularly, due to this yellowing and drooping of leaves. As a result, the size and quality of fruits are affected. Prevalent pesticides are not considered more effective in thrips management. Many new molecules are available in the market for thrips management in tinda crop, which are quite effective. Therefore, there is a need to assess the new molecules in present scenario. In Tinda, spraying of 0.4 g Emamectin benzoate 5% SG per liter of water for thrips management was found effective as well as increased production, net profit and B:C ratio.

Table Efficacy of different pesticides for thrip management in tinda.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Pest reduction (%) | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in yield (%) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | B:C |
|--|---------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------|
| T ₁ -Use of Fipronil 5% SC @ 1.5 ml/lit. water. (Farmer's practice) | 10 | 59.86 | 61.12 | -- | 65857 | 2.56 |
| T ₂ -Use of Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 0.4gm/lit. water.(Assessment) | | 80.56 | 83.20 | 36.13 | 76208 | 3.01 |
| T ₃ -Use of Neem based insecticide (300 PPM) @ 5 ml/lit. water (Assessment) | | 65.18 | 67.10 | 09.78 | 76115 | 2.82 |

INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

6.

Problem definition: Poor quality of fruit & low yield.

Technology Assessed: Foliar spray of nutrients at fruit developing stage in kinnow.

Kinnow, a mandarin hybrid (citrus nobilis lours citrus deliciosa) has become an important variety in north India occupying a major part of area under cultivation of fruit crops. It has assumed a special economic importance and export demand due to its high juice content, special flavor and as a rich source of vitamin C. It is a well-established fact that deficiency of nutrient deteriorates vegetative growth quality and production of fruit and causes heavy flower and fruit drops which resulted in production of poor quality fruit coupled with yield losses.

Table Impact of foliar spray of nutrient on fruit quality, size and yield of kinnow

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in yield (%) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | BC Ratio |
|---|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| T ₁ -Spray of micronutrients (6 elements) (Farmers Practice) | 10 | 337.0 | -- | 227264 | 3.5 |
| T ₂ -Three times spray of nutrients in June to August (ZnSO ₄ 0.3% + K ₂ SO ₄ 0.8% + MgSO ₄ 0.2% + MnSO ₄ 0.2% + Urea 0.15%) (Assessment) | | 379.1 | 12.5 | 302043 | 4.2 |

Foliar spray of nutrients at fruit developing stage improved the quality & size of fruits which gave more B:C Ratio.

7.

Problem definition: Poor quality & low yield of onion.

Technology Assessed: Foliar spray of micronutrients at bulb developing stage in onion.

Onion is a cash crop grown mainly in summer season in north India. It is very important in cooking. Hence it is called the Queen of kitchen. It is valued for its distinct pungent flavour. Nutrients play a major role in production. Nutrients normally applied in soil at primary stage of crops by the farmers. But foliar spray of micronutrients at bulb stage may play a major role in increasing the yield.

Table Impact of foliar spray of nutrient on fruit quality, size and yield of onion

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in yield (%) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | BC Ratio |
|---|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| T ₁ -No use of micronutrients (Farmers Practice) | 10 | 233.9 | -- | 211848 | 2.8 |
| T ₂ -Foliar spray of micronutrients (Zn+Fe+Mn+Cu+Bo+Mo) (Assessment) | | 245.7 | 4.8 | 225968 | 2.9 |

Foliar spray of micronutrient at bulb developing stage improved the quality & size of bulbs which gave more B:C Ratio.

LIVESTOCK ENTERPRISES

8.

Problem definition: Low milk production due to low digestibility of feed stuff given to animals.

Technology Assessed: Balance feeding with probiotic supplementation in cattle. (2nd year 2020-21)

Low milk production due to low digestibility of feed stuff given to animals because of improper activity of microbes on feed stuff. Resulting undigested/unabsorbed feed stuff comes out in faeces.

Probiotics are feed additives used to strengthen the animal digestive system and increase digestibility. Probiotic increases the number of micro-organisms of the GI track (gastro intestinal track) in animals. Therefore, the maximum digestion of feed is done, and proper use of the digestive food is utilized by animal for health and milk production.

Probiotics can be used to strengthen the animal digestive system and increase its digestibility. Use of probiotics increases animal production and reproductive efficiency.

Therefore, need to assess probiotics use in lactating animals.

Table Performance of technology

| Technology option | Ave. Milk Prod.(lit.) | Average increase in milk prod. | Cost of feeding (Rs. / Ani. / day) | Gross cost of Milk (Rs. / Ani. /day) | Net profit (Rs.) | B:C Ratio |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| T ₁ Balance feeding (include Mineral mixture) | 11.1 | 25.23% | 164.2 | 288.6 | 124.4 | 1.76 |
| T ₂ : Balance feeding + Probiotics (Assessment) | 13.9 | | 189.8 | 361.4 | 176.6 | 1.90 |

The results showed that supplementation of probiotic with balance diet improve digestion of animals, resulting milk production of animals increases by 25.23% (T₁ – 11.1 lit/day/animal and T₂ - 13.9 lit/day/animal). Cost Benefit ratio was found to be maximum in T₂ group (1.90) as compared to T₁ groups (1.76); however, the net profit was the highest from T₂ group of lactating cattle (Rs 176.6/unit).

9.

Technological Problem: Low milk production in lactating buffalo

Technology Assessed :Balance feeding with chelated mineral mixture in buffalo. (2nd year 2020-21)

Green fodder, dry fodder, concentrate with mineral mixture are normal component of feed of animal diet, out of which various minerals like Ca, P, Na, Cl, S, Cu, Co, Fe, Zn, Mn, Mg, I, etc play important role (catalyst) in chemical reactions of body which are necessary for production, reproduction, and maintenance of animal body. It has been observed that most of the farmers are using non chelated mineral mixture in normal diet of dairy animals. Sometimes animal body is not able to absorb minerals due to inactive/non-chelated form of minerals.

Non-chelated form of minerals sometime alters during digestion process and not absorbed by intestine. Resulted, animal production and reproduction performance decreases.

Chelated form of minerals is active and organic form of minerals which is easily absorbed in intestine. So, need to assess chelated mineral mixture in lactating buffalo.

Table Performance of technology

| Technology option | Ave. Milk Prod.(lit.) | Average increase in milk prod. | cost of feeding (Rs./ Ani./ day) | Gross cost of Milk (Rs./ Ani. /day) | Net profit (Rs.) | B:C Ratio |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| T ₁ Balance feeding (include Mineral mixture) | 19.11 | 24.54 | 273.05 | 535.08 | 262.03 | 1.96 |
| T ₂ :Balance feeding + Chelated Mineral mixture (Assessment) | 23.8 | | 283.96 | 666.4 | 382.44 | 2.35 |

TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENTS 2022

3.A. Details of target and achievements of mandatory activities by KVK during 2022

| OFT (Technology Assessment) | | | | FLD (Oilseeds, Pulses, Cotton, Other Crops/Enterprises) | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1 | | | | 2 | | | |
| Number of OFTs | | Total no. of Trials | | Area in ha | | Number of Farmers | |
| Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement |
| 09 | 05 | 90 | 50 | 150. | 173.15 | 500 | 516 |
| | | | | 140 nos. | 140 nos. | 140 | 140 |

| Training (including sponsored, vocational and other trainings carried under Rainwater Harvesting Unit) | | | | | Extension Activities | | | |
|--|---------|-------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| 3 | | | | | 4 | | | |
| Number of Courses | | | Number of Participants | | Number of activities | | Number of participants | |
| Clientele | Targets | Achievement | Target s | Achieveme nt | Targets | Achieve ment | Targets | Achiev ement |
| Farmers | 50 | 49 | 2500 | 2373 | 500 | 534 | 50000 | 56347 |
| Rural youth | 5 | 3 | 200 | 98 | | | | |
| Extn. Functionaries | 2 | 2 | 60 | 66 | | | | |
| Sponsored | 10 | 10 | 350 | 306 | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|----|----|--|--|--|--|
| training | | | | | | | | |
| Vocational training | 2 | 2 | 50 | 52 | | | | |

| Seed Production (Qtl.) | | | Planting material (Nos.) | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| 5 | | | 6 | | |
| Target | Achievement | Distributed to no. of farmers | Target | Achievement | Distributed to no. of farmers |
| 125 | 123.36 | 636 | 60000 | 62595 | 2433 |

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT 2022

Summary of technologies assessed under various **crops** by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Crop | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|---------------|----------------|
| Integrated Nutrient Management | Cotton | Foliar application of 1% Magnesium sulphate in cotton crop | 01 | 10 |
| | Kinnow | Foliar spray of nutrients at fruit developing stage in kinnow | 01 | 10 |
| | Onion | Foliar spray of micronutrients at bulb developing stage in onion | 01 | 10 |
| Integrated Pest Management | Kinnow | Sodium Hypochlorite 5% for gummosis management in kinnow | 01 | 10 |
| Total | | | 04 | 40 |

Summary of technologies assessed under **livestock** by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Name of the livestock enterprise | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------|----------------|
| Feed and Fodder management | Goatry | Balance feed + 50% moringa leaves (according to body weight). | 01 | 10 |
| Total | | | 01 | 10 |

Summary of technologies assessed under various **enterprises** by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Enterprise | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Note: Suppose **IPM in paddy** is the technology assessed by 50 KVKs in the Zone with 5 trials by each KVK, then IPM in paddy needs to be considered as a single technology, with $50 \times 5 = 250$ trials and No. of KVKs will be 50. In addition, please note that even if IPM in paddy is done with various combinations of Technology Options (treatments), it may be considered as a single technology only.

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT IN DETAIL

(From each state please include the full details of three OFTs on technology assessment under the broad thematic areas such as Integrated Crop Management, weed management, pest and disease management, nutrient management, resource conservation, livestock enterprises, Integrated Nutrient Management)

PEST AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT

1.

Problem definition: Gummosis management in Kinnow.

Technology Assessed: Sodium Hypochlorite 5% for gummosis management in kinnow.

Kinnow is an important fruit crop of Hanumangarh district. The plants mainly suffer from gummosis (Foot rot) caused by *Phytophthora palmivora*. Phytophthora is most destructive pathogen of Kinnow plant and responsible for significant economic losses to orchardist. To combat this problem, we frame a work on farm trial and found that on the management of gummosis in kinnow. Farmers generally used Paste the solution of Ridomil MZ @ 2g + 100 ml linseed oil on infected trunk and branches and drenching of Redomil MZ @25 gm per plant twice in a year but is not effective to control this disease so, we design to conduct OFT on Gummosis management. Results showed that the

spray of Sodium Hypochlorite 5% was found to be more effective than Ridomil MZ in the management of gummosis disease.

Table Efficacy of different pesticides for Gummosis management in Kinnow.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Recovery from trunk lesion (%) | Reduction in Phytophthora propagule density (%) | Yield (q/ha) | Spray cost per plant (Rs.) | B:C Ratio |
|---|---------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| T ₁ - Paste of Ridomil MZ @ 2g + 100 ml linseed oil on infected trunk and branches and drenching of Redomil MZ @25 gm per plant twice in a year. (Farmer's practice) | 10 | 57.36 | 81.23 | 347 | 118.00 | 4.05 |
| T ₂ - Spray of Sodium Hypochlorite 5% @ 50 ml/ 10 liter water on affected trunk & branches twice in a year | | 68.89 | 87.85 | 366 | 34.95 | 5.25 |

INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

2.

Problem definition: Low yield of cotton.

Technology Assessed: Foliar application of 1% Magnesium sulphate in cotton crop.

Bt cotton is grown in 67% of the total cotton growing area of this district. Sometimes the leaves turn red at the full bloom and boll development stages in cotton. Due to this the process of photosynthesis is interrupted and the leaves of plants do not produce food. As a result, the development of bolls does not occur smoothly and production is reduced.

The study found that the reddening of cotton leaves (The purplish red leaves with green veins) is due to magnesium deficiency. If the farmer does two sprays of 1% solution of Magnesium Sulphate @ 250 litres per hectare at 15 days interval during full bloom and boll development stages, then magnesium deficiency in the cotton crop can be overcome. Therefore, an On Farm Trial was conducted on "Nutrient management in cotton". and it was found that the crop sprayed with 1% 1% Magnesium sulphate (1 kg in 100 litres of water @ 250 litres per hectare) at 15 days interval during full bloom and boll development stages) did not have reddening of leaves and yield increased by 19.59% as compared to the control.

Table: Performance of varieties in reference of yield and income of Cotton.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in Yield (%) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio |
|--|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| No use of Magnesium Sulphate. (Control) | 10 | 11.94 | -- | 51500 | 2.03 |
| Two sprays of 1% magnesium sulphate (Assessment) | | 14.28 | 19.59 | 68626 | 2.30 |

3.

Problem definition: Poor quality of fruit & low yield.

Technology Assessed: Foliar spray of nutrients at fruit developing stage in kinnow.

Kinnow, a mandarin hybrid (citrus nobilis lourx citrus deliciosatan) has become an important variety in north India occupying a major part of area under cultivation of fruit crops. It has assumed a special economics importance and export demand due to its high juice content, special flavor and as a rich source of vitamin C. It is a well-established fact that deficiency of nutrient deteriorates vegetative growth quality and production of fruit and causes heavy flower and fruit drops which resulted in production of poor quality fruit coupled with yield losses.

Table Impact of foliar spray of nutrient on fruit quality, size and yield of kinnow

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in yield (%) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | BC Ratio |
|---|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| T ₁ -Spray of micronutrients (6 elements) (Farmers Practice) | 10 | 276.8 | -- | 359690 | 5.6 |
| T ₂ -Three times spray of nutrients in June to August (ZnSO ₄ 0.3% + K ₂ SO ₄ 0.8% + MgSO ₄ 0.2% + MnSO ₄ 0.2% + Urea 0.15%) (Assessment) | | 305.1 | 10.2 | 463335 | 4.7 |

Foliar spray of nutrients at fruit developing stage is improved the quality & size of fruits which gave more B:C Ratio.

4.

Problem definition: Poor quality & low yield of onion.

Technology Assessed: Foliar spray of micronutrients at bulb developing stage in onion.

Onion is a cash crop the grown mainly in summer season in north India. It is very important in cooking. Hence it is called the Queen of kitchen. It is valued for its distinct pungent flavour. Nutrients play a major role in production. Nutrients normally applied in soil at primary stage of crops by the farmers. But foliar spray of micronutrients at bulb stage may play a major role in increase the yield.

Table Impact of foliar spray of nutrient on fruit quality, size and yield of onion

| <i>Technology Option</i> | <i>No. of trials</i> | <i>Yield (q/ha)</i> | <i>Increase in yield (%)</i> | <i>Net Returns (Rs./ha)</i> | <i>BC Ratio</i> |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| T ₁ -No use of micronutrients (Farmers Practice) | 10 | 184.4 | -- | 88388 | 1.9 |
| T ₂ -Foliar spray of micronutrients (Zn+Fe+Mn+Cu+Bo+Mo) (Assessment) | | 198.9 | 7.9 | 100888 | 2.0 |

Foliar spray of micronutrient at bulb developing stage is improved the quality & size of bulbs which gave more B:C Ratio.

LIVESTOCK ENTERPRISES

5.

Problem definition: Poor economics of male goat rearing for meat purpose.

Technology Assessed: Balance feed + 50% moringa leaves (according to body weight). (1st year 2021-22)

Goat farmers of the district are generally rear male goat for meat purpose. They generally allow to feed them legume green fodder, Dry fodder and concentrate which having high amount of pulses for proper muscle development in male goats. Higher amount of pulses increases cost of production. Resulting B:C ratio decreases. Growing male goats require high protein in their diet for development of muscles. Retarded growth in male goat due to low protein in diet. Moringa leaves that have 18.23% CP, and 9.6 MJ/kg energy which improve the growth performance in goats. Feeding Moringa leaves can increase goat body weight, improve the digestion and absorption of nutrients to be more effective.

Table Performance of moringa leaves as source of protein.

| <i>Technology Option</i> | <i>No. of trials</i> | <i>Body wt. (kg/ani.)</i> | <i>Increase in body wt. (%)</i> | <i>Gross cost (Rs./ani.)</i> | <i>Net Returns (Rs./ha)</i> | <i>BC Ratio</i> |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| T ₁ - Dry fodder+ Green fodder + concentrate (Farmer's practice) | 10 | 40.34 | -- | 5226.35 | 8892.65 | 2.7 |
| T ₂ - Balance feed + 50% moringa leaves (according to body weight) (Assessment) | | 42.20 | 4.61 | 5073.18 | 9696.83 | 2.9 |

TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENTS 2023

3.A. Details of target and achievements of mandatory activities by KVK during 2023

| OFT (Technology Assessment) | | | | FLD (Oilseeds, Pulses, Cotton, Other Crops/Enterprises) | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1 | | | | 2 | | | |
| Number of OFTs | | Total no. of Trials | | Area in ha | | Number of Farmers | |
| Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement |
| 6 | 6 | 60 | 60 | 125 | 184.2 | 330 | 478 |

| Training (including sponsored, vocational, and other trainings carried under Rainwater Harvesting Unit) | | | | | Extension Activities | | | |
|---|---------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| 3 | | | | | 4 | | | |
| Number of Courses | | | Number of Participants | | Number of activities | | Number of participants | |
| Clientele | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement |
| Farmers | 46 | 42 | 1585 | 1539 | 40000 | 42670 | 350000 | 366875 |
| Rural youth | 2 | 2 | 50 | 40 | | | | |
| Extn. Functionaries | 4 | 3 | 100 | 100 | | | | |
| Sponsored Training | 0 | 6 | 0 | 270 | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|-----|----|--|--|--|--|
| Vocational Training | 4 | 2 | 100 | 50 | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|-----|----|--|--|--|--|

| Seed Production (Qtl.) | | | Planting material (Nos.) | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| 5 | | | 6 | | |
| Target | Achievement | Distributed to no. of farmers | Target | Achievement | Distributed to no. of farmers |
| 170 | 175.69 | 313 | 60,000 | 62,595 | 5501 |

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT 2023

Summary of technologies assessed under various **crops** by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Crop | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|---------------|----------------|
| Integrated Nutrient Management | Wheat | Foliar application of 0.5% Manganese sulphate in wheat | 10 | 10 |
| | Kinnow | Foliar application of 0.1% KNO ₃ in kinnow | 10 | 10 |
| Integrated Pest Management | Cotton | Mass trapping of male adults of Pink bollworms by installing pheromone traps @ 16 per acre with IPM practices. | 10 | 10 |
| Integrated Disease Management | Wheat | Sprays of Azoxystrobin 18.2% + Difenconazole 11.4% W/W SC @ 1ml/lit. | 10 | 10 |
| Total | | | 40 | 40 |

Summary of technologies assessed under **livestock** by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Name of the livestock enterprise | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------|----------------|
| Disease Management | Cross breed cattle | Assessment of clinical remedies to control repeat breeding in cross breed cattle | 10 | 10 |
| Nutrition Management | Goatry | Dry fodder+ Green fodder + 50% concentrate + 50% moringa leaves. | 10 | 10 |
| Total | | | 20 | 20 |

Summary of technologies assessed under various **enterprises** by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Enterprise | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT IN DETAIL

PEST AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT

Problem definition: Heavy infestation of pink bollworm in cotton effecting in a yield loss of 30-50%.

Technology Assessed : Mass trapping of male adults of Pink bollworms by installing pheromone traps @ 16 per acre with IPM practices.

KVK, Hanumangarh-I conducted trial to assess a potential solution for management of Pink bollworm in cotton crop and found that as potential solution is adoption of mass trapping of male adults of the pest to stop or minimize its population dynamics, ultimately management of the pest.

Table Effect of mass trapping & IPM practices in management of Pink bollworms in cotton.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Av. no. of moth catches per trap | % no. of infested bolls | Yield (q/ha) | Net Return (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio |
|---|---------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Using various insecticides for pink bollworm management (Farmers Practice) | 10 | - | 6.5 | 12.5 | 44250 | 1.79 |
| Mass trapping for male adults of pink bollworms by Installing Pheromone traps @ 16 per acre +IPM (Assessment) | | 7.52 | 3.25 | 14.38 | 62290 | 2.18 |

PEST AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT

Problem definition: Incidence of Yellow & brown rust in wheat crop.

Technology Assessed : Use of new molecules for management of yellow & brown rust in wheat crop.

KVK, Hanumagarh-I conducted trial to find out suitable management of yellow & brown rust in wheat crop as the farmer's practice could not manage the incidence of yellow & brown rust to the desired level. Use of new molecules found better and that the same had enhanced the yield by 8.96 per cent compared to farmer's practice.

Table Effect of foliar spray of Manganese Sulphate on yield of wheat

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Yield (qt./ha) | Increase in yield (%) | Net Return (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio |
|---|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 1 ml/lit. (Farmers Practice) | 10 | 40.73 | -- | 70531 | 2.96 |
| Sprays of Azoxystrobin 18.2% + Difenoconazole 11.4% W/W SC @ 1ml/lit. | | 44.38 | 8.96 | 77625 | 3.06 |

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

Problem definition: Poor quality & low yield in Kinnow.

Technology Assessed: Nutrient management in Kinnow.

KVK, Hanumagarh-I assess the technology of integrated nutrient management by the foliar application of potassium nitrate and found that the same had enhanced the yield by 6.9 per cent compared to farmers practice and quality also improved of kinnow {Mandarin hybrid (Citrus nobilis Lour X Citrus deliciosa)} fruits.

Table Effect of foliar spray of KNO₃ in kinnow for improve the quality & yield.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Yield (q/ha) | Increase in Yield (%) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio |
|--|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| No foliar spray of KNO ₃ (Farmers Practice) | 10 | 384.0 | - | 265554 | 3.70 |
| Three foliar spray of KNO ₃ @ 0.1% in the end of May, June & July month. (Assessment) | | 410.5 | 6.9 | 329159 | 4.20 |

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

Problem definition: Low yield of wheat.

Technology Assessed : Nutrient management in wheat crop.

KVK, Hanumagarh-I assess the technology of integrated nutrient management by the foliar application of Manganese Sulphate solution in wheat crop and found that the same had enhanced the yield by 9.15 per cent compared to farmer's practice.

Table Effect of foliar spray of Manganese Sulphate on yield of wheat

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Yield (qt./ha) | Increase in yield (%) | Net Return (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio |
|--|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| No foliar spray of MnSO ₄ (Farmer's Practice) | 10 | 40.86 | -- | 72619 | 3.12 |
| Two sprays of 0.5% Manganese Sulphate @ 200 lit./ha when deficiency symptoms appear and one week thereafter. | | 44.20 | 8.18 | 79475 | 3.25 |

LIVE STOCK ENTERPRISES

Problem definition: Poor economics of male goat rearing for meat purpose.

Technology Assessed: Dry fodder+ Green fodder + 50% concentrate + 50% moringa leaves. (2nd year 2022-23)

KVK, Hanumagarh-I conducted trial to evaluate low cost and nutritious feed for goat kids. In which Moringa leaves were included in place of concentrate feed. Moringa leaves that have 18.23% CP, and 9.6 MJ/kg energy which improve the growth performance in goats. Feeding Moringa leaves increases goat body weight, improve the digestion and absorption of nutrients in GI track.

Table Performance of moringa leaves as source of protein

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Body wt. gain (kg/ani.) | body wt. gain (%) | Gross cost (Rs./ani.) | Net Returns (Rs./ha) | BC Ratio |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| T ₁ - Dry fodder+ Green fodder + concentrate (Farmer's practice) | 10 | 28.60 | 16.78 | 5236.35 | 8952.65 | 2.71 |
| T ₂ - Dry fodder+ Green fodder + 50% concentrate + 50% moringa leaves (according to body weight) (Assessment) | | 30.97 | 17.02 | 5033.18 | 9954.83 | 2.97 |

LIVE STOCK ENTERPRISES

Problem definition: Higher incidences of repeat breeding, Anestrous in crossbreed cattle due to hormonal imbalance.

Technology Assessed: Assessment of clinical remedies to control repeat breeding in cross breed cattle.

KVK, Hanumangarh-I conducted trial to improvement of conception rate in cross breed cattle by using Dewormer (inj. ivermectin S/C 10 ml)/animal + Chelated Mineral mixture supplementation @ 30 g/bd /animal & inj. Receptal I/M 2.5ml (72-96 hrs before AI). Results reveled that the same had enhanced the conception rate by 90 per cent compared to farmer's practice.

Table Performance of clinical remedies on conception rate in cross breed cattle.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | No. of animals comes in heat & conceived |
|---|---------------|--|
| T ₁ - Balanced diet (balance feed with deworming and mineral mixture) (Farmer's practice) | 10 | ----- |
| T ₂ - Use of Dewormer (10 ml ivermectin inj.)/animal + Mineral mixture supplementation @ 30 g/bd /animal & Receptal inj 2.5ml (72-96 hrs before AI) (Assessment) | | 9 Animals conceived out of 10 |

TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENTS 2024

3.A. Details of target and achievements of mandatory activities by KVK during 2024

| OFT (Technology Assessment) | | | | FLD (Oilseeds, Pulses, Cotton, Other Crops/Enterprises) | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1 | | | | 2 | | | |
| Number of OFTs | | Total no. of Trials | | Area in ha | | Number of Farmers | |
| Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement |
| 5 | 2 | 50 | 20 | 90 ha/20 units | 85.75 ha & 60 units | 300 | 350 |

| Training (including sponsored, vocational, and other trainings carried under Rainwater Harvesting Unit) | | | | | Extension Activities | | | |
|---|----------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| 3 | | | | | 4 | | | |
| Number of Courses | | | Number of Participants | | Number of activities | | Number of participants | |
| Clientele | Target s | Achieveme nt | Target s | Achieveme nt | Targets | Achievem ent | Target s | Achiev ement |
| Farmers | 40 | 37 | 1200 | 1445 | 40000 | 37464 | 300000 | 287505 |
| Rural youth | 10 | 10 | 300 | 350 | | | | |
| Extn. Functionaries | 02 | 02 | 50 | 49 | | | | |
| Sponsored Training | 10 | 11 | 300 | 330 | | | | |
| Vocational Training | 05 | 05 | 125 | 114 | | | | |

| Seed Production (Qtl.) | | | Planting material (Nos.) | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| 5 | | | 6 | | |
| Target | Achievement | Distributed to no. of farmers | Target | Achievement | Distributed to no. of farmers |
| 300 | 298.21 | 916 | 70,000 | 69411 | 4976 |

I.A TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT 2024

Summary of technologies assessed under various crops by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Crop | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------------------|--------|--|---------------|----------------|
| Integrated Pest Management | Cotton | Mass trapping of male adults of Pink bollworms by installing pheromone traps @ 16 per acre with IPM practices. | 10 | 10 |
| Integrated Crop Management | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Integrated Disease Management | | | | |
| Small Scale Income Generation Enterprises | | | | |
| Weed Management | | | | |
| Resource Conservation Technology | | | | |
| Farm Machineries | | | | |
| Integrated Farming System | | | | |
| Seed / Plant production | | | | |
| Post Harvest Technology / Value addition | | | | |
| Drudgery Reduction | | | | |
| Storage Technique | | | | |
| Others (Pl. specify) | | | | |
| Total | | | | |

Summary of technologies assessed under **livestock** by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Name of the livestock enterprise | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------|----------------|
| Disease Management | Cross breed cattle | Assessment of clinical remedies to control repeat breeding in cross breed cattle | 10 | 10 |
| Evaluation of Breeds | | | | |
| Feed and Fodder management | | | | |
| Nutrition Management | | | | |
| Production and Management | | | | |
| Others (Pl. specify) | | | | |
| Total | | | | |

Summary of technologies assessed under various **enterprises** by KVKs

| Thematic areas | Enterprise | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Note: Suppose **IPM in paddy** is the technology assessed by 50 KVKs in the Zone with 5 trials by each KVK, then IPM in paddy needs to be considered as a single technology, with $50 \times 5 = 250$ trials and No. of KVKs will be 50. In addition, please note that even if IPM in paddy is done with various combinations of Technology Options (treatments), it may be considered as a single technology only.

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT IN DETAIL

PEST AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT

Problem definition: Heavy infestation of pink bollworm in cotton effecting in a yield loss of 30-50%.

Technology Assessed : Mass trapping of male adults of Pink bollworms by installing pheromone traps @ 16 per acre with IPM practices.

KVK, Hanumangarh-I conducted trial to assess a potential solution for management of Pink bollworm in cotton crop and found that as potential solution is adoption of mass trapping of male adults of the pest to stop or minimize its population dynamics, ultimately management of the pest.

Table Effect of mass trapping & IPM practices in management of Pink bollworms in cotton.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | Av. no. of moth catches per trap | % no. of infested bolls | Yield (q/ha) | Net Return (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|
|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|------|----|-------|-------|------|
| Using various insecticides for pink bollworm management (Farmers Practice) | 10 | - | 22 | 16.00 | 59889 | 2.07 |
| Mass trapping for male adults of pink bollworms by Installing Pheromone traps @ 16 per acre + IPM (Assessment) | | 10.9 | 9 | 17.95 | 72707 | 2.27 |

LIVE STOCK ENTERPRISES

Problem definition: Higher incidences of repeat breeding, Anestrous in crossbreed cattle due to hormonal imbalance.

Technology Assessed: Assessment of clinical remedies to control repeat breeding in cross breed cattle.

KVK, Hanumangarh-I conducted trial to improvement of conception rate in cross breed cattle by using Dewormer (inj. ivermectin S/C 10 ml)/animal + Chelated Mineral mixture supplementation @ 30 g/bd /animal & inj. Receptal I/M 2.5ml (72-96 hrs before AI). Results reveled that the same had enhanced the conception rate by 90 per cent compared to farmer's practice.

Table Performance of clinical remedies on conception rate in cross breed cattle.

| Technology Option | No. of trials | No. of animals comes in heat & conceived |
|---|---------------|--|
| T ₁ - Balanced diet (balance feed with deworming and mineral mixture) (Farmer's practice) | 10 | ----- |
| T ₂ - Use of Dewormer (10 ml ivermectin inj.)/animal + Mineral mixture supplementation @ 30 g/bd /animal & Receptal inj 2.5ml (72-96 hrs before AI) (Assessment) | | 9 Animals conceived out of 10 |

OFT

List of Impact of Foliar Spray of Nutrient on size quality and yield of Kinno 2021-22

| S.No. | Name | Caste | Chak | Village | Mobile No. |
|-------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|---------------------|------------|
| 1 | Chander Mukesh/ Sh. Hansraj | OBC | 1 RTP | Sangaria | 9875000029 |
| 2 | Mahender Kumar/ Sh. Sahab Ram | OBC | 6 FTP | Bashir | 9460753878 |
| 3 | Subhash Chander / Sh. Om Prakash | OBC | 1 SNG | Dhaban | 9460120618 |
| 4 | Jaikrishan/ Sh. Badri Ram | OBC | 13 PTP | Kishanpura Uttradha | 9785324589 |
| 5 | Ramswaroop/ Sh. Kheta Ram | OBC | 2 KHR` | Manak Tibbi | 8112244316 |
| 6 | Ravinder Kumar/ Sh. Ram Niwas | OBC | 4 NKR | Nukera | 9828961260 |
| 7 | Kuldeep Kumar/ Sh. Badri Prasad | OBC | 3 STP | Bhakranwali | 8058375573 |
| 8 | Lekh Ram/ Sh. Moman Ram | OBC | 4 STP | Bhakranwali | 9929303349 |
| 9 | Ranveer/ Sh. Lalchand Beniwal | OBC | 12 PTP | Kishanpura Uttradha | 9772765189 |
| 10 | Krishi Vigyan Kendra | | 1 RTP | Sangaria | 9414874800 |

List of Impact of Foliar Spray of Nutrient in Onion crop 2021-22

| S.No. | Name | Caste | Chak | Village | Mobile No. |
|-------|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|------------|
| 1 | Rajender Singh/ Sh.Darshan Singh | OBC | 2 NKR | Lambidhab | 9460739290 |
| 2 | Rajender Kumar/ Sh. Jagdish Prasad | OBC | 2 IDG | Nukera | 9462173444 |
| 3 | Satvinder Kaur/ Sh. Darshan Sin gh | OBC | 2 NKR | Lambidhab | 9799810074 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|-----|---------|-------------|------------|
| 4 | Jaswinder Singh/ Sh. Rajvinder Singh | OBC | 3 NKR | Nukera | 8058806835 |
| 5 | Dalip Kumar/ Sh. Brij Lal | SC | 3 LLW | Lilnwali | 9521512274 |
| 6 | Gurpreet Singh/ Sh. Jagjeet Singh | OBC | 8 AMP | Santpura | 8619696950 |
| 7 | Harmel Singh/ Sh. Ajayab Singh | OBC | 3 KSD | Santpura | 8094587200 |
| 8 | KVK | | 1 RTP | Sangaria | 9414874800 |
| 9 | Ramswaroop/ Sh. Kheta Ram | OBC | 2 KHR | Manak Tibbi | 8112244316 |
| 10 | Madan Lal/ Sh. Devi Lal | OBC | 9 BGP-B | Deengarh | 9460728917 |

List of Impact of Foliar Spray of Nutrient in Onion crop 2022-23

| S.No. | Name | Caste | Chak | Village | Mobile No. |
|-------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|----------------|------------|
| 1 | Krishan Kumar/ Sh.Bhom Prakash | OBC | 3 STP | Haripura | 9414481397 |
| 2 | Hardip Singh/ Sh. Harpal Singh | OBC | 10 KSD | Malarampura | 9463272472 |
| 3 | Gurlal Singh/ Sh. Jagga Singh | OBC | 7 IDG | Rasuwala | 9983344100 |
| 4 | Sukhdev Singh/ Sh. Rajsingh | OBC | 10 KSD | Malarampura | 9464861610 |
| 5 | Amajeet Singh/ Sh. Baveer Singh | OBC | 2 PTP | Nukera | 9461620564 |
| 6 | Richhpal/ Sh. Bhoop Ram | OBC | 4 KHR | Kharakhera | 6367748004 |
| 7 | KVK | | 1 RTP | Sangaria | 9414874800 |
| 8 | Ram Kumar/ Sh. Gangajal | OBC | 5 NKR | Nukera | 9929793529 |
| 9 | Shamsher Singh/ Sh. Bhagat Singh | OBC | 1 STD | Manaksar | 9571337415 |
| 10 | Jagdish/ Sh. Om Prakash | OBC | 1 SNG | Dhaban Station | 9414508802 |

OFT- To Access the efficiency and adaptability of Nano Urea in Potato 2024-25

| S.No. | Name | Caste | Chak | Village | Mobile No. |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--------|-------------|------------|
| 1 | Aman/ Sh.Vinod Kumar | OBC | 3 RTP | Sangaria | 9784712441 |
| 2 | Suresh Kumar/ Sh. Ram Prakash | OBC | 4 FTP | Basir | 9001301910 |
| 3 | Nand Lal/ Sh. Raj Kumar | OBC | 10 CDR | Kulchander | 9929150404 |
| 4 | Balveer/ Sh. Ram Kumar | OBC | 10 CDR | Kulchander | 9782594403 |
| 5 | Dara Singh/ Sh. Sahi Ram | OBC | 9 MKS | Mallarkhera | 8114439658 |
| 6 | Vijay Kumar/ Sh. Amra Ram | OBC | 1 CDR | Kulchander | 9467143733 |
| 7 | Chandermohan/ Sh. Munshi Ram | OBC | 4 FTP | Basir | 9588809449 |
| 8 | Koushlya Devi/ Sh. Munshi Ram | OBC | 4 FTP | Basir | 9166162090 |

| | | | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|-----|-------|------------|------------|
| 9 | Vikas/ Sh. Kuldeep Singh | OBC | 7 KHR | Kharakhera | 9799240000 |
| 10 | Bharat Kumar/ Sh. Narender Kumar | OBC | 1 CDR | Kharakhera | 9950224808 |